

The Speaker laid before the House, as a privileged question,

Substitute House bill No. 39, a bill to be entitled "An act to amend section 19 of an act entitled an act to redistrict the State into judicial districts, and fix the times for holding courts therein, and to provide for the election of judges and district attorneys in said districts at the next general election, to be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November, 1884, approved April 9, 1883; to create the Fifty-fourth judicial district, fix the times for holding court therein, and provide for the appointment of a district judge for said district, and to repeal all laws in conflict with this act,"

Which was returned to the House by His Excellency, the Governor, with his objections thereto, on Monday, February 27, and recommitted to the Committee on Judicial Districts, together with the veto message of the Governor thereon, and was reported back to the House on Thursday March 9, with the recommendation that it do pass, notwithstanding the objections of the Governor, the chairman, Mr. Rogan, reserving the right to oppose the bill in the House.

The bill was read in full in the hearing of the House. Pending question, shall the bill pass notwithstanding the veto?

The clerk was directed to call the roll, and the bill was passed by the following vote:

YEAS—72.

Aldridge,	Lindsey,
Alston,	Long,
Baker of Red River,	McFall,
Barbee of Wharton,	McFarland,
Barry,	McGehee,
Bayne,	McLemore,
Beall,	Mills,
Breeding,	Moody,
Chandler,	Murrell,
Cocke,	Newton,
Cunningham,	Nolan,
Dashiell,	Peck,
Davis of Walker,	Peter,
Davis of Falls,	Phillips,
Dean,	Ragsdale,
Dever,	Rodriguez,
Dills,	Rogers of Ander-
Dodd,	son,
Erskine,	Rogers of McLennan,
Faubion,	Rudd,
Fagan,	Russell,
Finlay,	Sebastian,
Flack,	Sherrill,
Garret,	Simmons of Mav-
Graves,	erick,
Haller,	

Hamilton,	Slayden,
Hawkins,	Smith of Hill,
Hendersan of Mil-	Strange,
lam,	Taylor,
Hodges,	Templeton,
Jackson of Colo-	Townsend,
rado,	Urbahn,
Jackson of Burle-	Weeks,
son,	Wester,
James,	White,
Kennedy of Harris,	Wohlford,
King of Rockwall,	Wynn,
King of Ellis,	Young.

NAYS—20.

Baker of DeWitt,	Greer,
Barbee of Free-	Griffin,
stone,	Hood of Fannin,
Barrou,	Kirk,
Brown,	Lloyd,
Calhoun,	Maddox,
Curry,	Rogan,
Dorow,	Sumner,
Floyd,	Talbot,
Frazer,	Turner.
Gough,	

ABSENT—10.

Cain,	McElwee,
Chambers,	Moore,
Fields,	Pickett,
Graham,	Simmons of Gray-
Henderson of La-	son,
mar,	Tankersley.
Kenney,	

EXCUSED—24.

Ball,	Meyer,
Ballowe,	Morrison,
Broocks,	Murray,
Burleson,	Onion,
Feagin,	Rogers of Titus,
Golden,	Rowell,
Gossett,	Smith of Smith,
Henry,	Truit,
Hood of Parker,	Turney,
Kennedy of Bee,	Weinert,
Kennedy of Starr,	Wheless,
Martin,	Wilson.

Mr. Speaker: We would not cast a vote to pass this bill over the Governor's veto if we were not thoroughly convinced that McLennan county needs relief. We are creditably informed that there are now about 800 cases on the McLennan county docket with no chance for relief without this bill is passed, therefore we vote "aye."

PHILLIPS,
SEBASTIAN.

I vote "aye," because I believe that the people of McLennan county need the relief asked for at once. So soon as the State is redistricted the expense of the additional court of McLennan county can be avoided.

W. J. TOWNSEND.

I vote "no" because I am opposed to creating any more offices, especially judicial districts. I believe the present number should be reduced by redistricting the State. When this is done McLennan county, and other counties, may get relief.

BROWN.

I vote "aye" because a real necessity for relief is conceded, and no other practical relief is proposed except in this bill. JACKSON of Colorado.

Mr. Speaker: I vote "yea" on the passage of this bill over the veto of the Governor because I have been convinced that the people of McLennan county need the relief which they seek by this measure. But I believe it was the duty of the Legislature to redistrict the State into judicial districts and our failure to do so is inexcusable. By redistricting the State the people of McLennan county would be granted the relief which they so much need without increasing the number of judicial districts in the State or the expenses of the judiciary. This Legislature should have acted on the suggestion of the Governor, to redistrict the State; but I am satisfied it is too late in the session to do so now, and hence I vote "yes" with a view to relief of those people until the State can be redistricted.

BREEDING.

Mr. Speaker: I vote "no," first, because I believe that it is the duty of the present Legislature to redistrict the State into judicial districts, thereby giving the relief asked for by McLennan and other counties in the State; second I am opposed to laying any more burdens upon the taxpayer in the State by creating more offices. I believe, if the courts of our State were run in a more businesslike manner, that much time would be saved and more business transacted, thereby giving relief to all concerned.

KIRK.